

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 LARRY DANIEL SAGE,
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DAWSON,
15 Defendant.
16

No. 2:20-CV-1375-TLN-DMC-P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

17 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 26, 2021, the Court directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint
19 within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint may result in
20 dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.
21 See Local Rule 110. To date, plaintiff has not complied.

22 The Court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of dismissal.
23 See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. U.S. Postal
24 Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's interest in
25 expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) the risk of
26 prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits;
27 and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,
28 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate

1 sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. See Malone,
2 833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is appropriate where
3 there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.
4 1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to comply with an
5 order to file an amended complaint. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.
6 1992).

7 Having considered these factors, and in light of Plaintiff's failure to file an
8 amended complaint as directed, the Court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

9 Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed,
10 without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.

11 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days
13 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
14 objections with the court. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right
15 to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

16
17 Dated: October 19, 2021



18 DENNIS M. COTA
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28